

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,619	08/03/2001	Kazuaki Tarumi	MERCK-2286	6956
23599	7590 04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			WU, SHEAN CHIU	
SUITE 1400	ANDON BEVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22201		1756	· -

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	09/920,619 TARUMI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shean C Wu	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mitute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	6 April 2004.		
·— ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	s
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,5-19 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Dobjected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·		d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 6, 9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The concentration ranges of the components are vague because the claimed medium does not include the compounds of formulae IIIb and/or IIIg. See Claim 2, the compound of formula III is not a compound of formula IIIb or IIIg.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1756

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-8, 15-17 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,190. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the medium of US '190 encompasses the present medium. Although the liquid crystal properties of the reference medium are not specified, the reference teaching and examples, particularly Example 19 (comprising the present formulae I, II, III, IIIb and IV), will inherently anticipate the claimed properties. Also, see lines 33-37 on col.6.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 10, 15-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heckmeier et al. (US 6,635,190).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. See lines 33-37 on col. 6 and Example 19 on col. 31.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1756

5. Claims 3-4 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments, see paper, filed 4/6/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-16 under US 6,159,393 and 6,045,878 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Heckmeier et al. (US 6,635,190, see sections 3-4 above) and 112 rejection (section 1 above).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1756

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shean C Wu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

scw